G. R. S. IX-5]

1868-69

Previous references.

File No. 1 of 1868

191

(S.R.)

Serial Nos. 1—4

Later references.

S.R. 3 of 1871

16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

Major ~~Part~~ B for May 1870

SUBJECT.

Fixed License Fees on Arrac Shops in Nagar Divn

Indexed

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Memorandum.

A° 29.

With reference to the Chief Commissioner's order on letter A° 164 of the 9th ultimo from the Superintendt of the Nagar Division, I beg to submit the following.-

2. In para 2 of the above, the Superintendent states that the retail arrack sellers at present are under no restriction, there being no stipulation binding them to sell liquor of the same strength as is issued from the Distillery without adulteration, and suggests that a clause to that effect should be inserted in the license adding that infraction of the rule would subject the retail dealers to penalties and involve forfeiture of the license.-

3. On examination of the forms of license received with the Superintendents letter, and of the Retail Arrack License at present issued in the Town and Cantonment of Bangalore, I find that in the license used in Bangalore, a copy of which is herewith submitted, it is provided (vide Rule IX) that the liquor of 19 degrees below proof, as purchased from the wholesale shop or Distillery, shall not be diluted or adulterated, and a breach of the said rule is liable to be punished under Rule XVII; whereas in the forms of retail licenses at present in use in the Nagar Dn, no mention is made on the point of the strength of the spirit

Bangalore
11th May 1870

H. R. Sankaranath

The forms ought to be the same in all the Divns. A fixed fee appears to me practicable for the Arrack retail shops in Talooks & Villages

S.B.R.

No 29

Memorandum

Where the supervision is not strict or regular. At Auctions, competition leads to high rates & the retail sellers try to recoup themselves by diluting the liquor. 12.5.70

12.5.70

Reply accordingly -

The form of Bungalow license should be modified, and a license for hawking in places where the community is averse to a supervision unprejudicial to the sale of Retail Licenses at large places, where competition with permanent stores instead of leading to them, adds to the profits, is entitled to be kept steady

No 114

Memorandum.

Nagar Superintendent's letter No. 465/110 dated the 14th December 1871.

The Superintendent, enclosing a form of retail arrack license, recommended in letter No. 161/4 of the 9th April 1870 that an additional clause imposing a check on the adulteration of liquor should be entered, and as this form differed from that in use in the Bangalore District, which contained a provision in the matter, it was suggested in Duftur Memo No. 29 that the latter form may be adopted also in Nagar.

3. This was authorized and communicated in this Office No. 805/10 of 16th May 1870 to the Supt, who in his letter under reference brings to notice that the Wastara Talook retail Arrack Venders complain against the restrictions as enjoined in the new form, that they cannot remove the shops to fairs and annual gatherings, that liquor cannot be taken out of the shop for friends and the sick, unable to attend personally, that the shop keeper cannot, when disabled from ill. health or other cause, ask any one else to sell for him, and that they cannot sell more than 2 drams to a person which quantity is not strong enough. —

4. The Superintendent, after consultation with his Dy Superintendents, considers that first two points of representation untenable, as facilitating smuggling and private distillation, and as interfering with the right which is usually rented to others to sell at fairs &c., in which I would also concur, and in reference to the other 2 points proposes to avoid the difficulty as regards the sale of liquor by any other than the holder of the license, that additional names

may be inserted in the license, and recommends permission may be granted to extend the quantity allowable to each man to 3 drams as in the Inclosed 2 are not strong enough.-

5. I do not think there is any necessity to the entry of additional names in the license, for I see there is no restriction that the license holder should be the only Vendor which no doubt would press heavily, and which I think is not called for, the license holder being legally responsible for the acts of the Vendor whose liability is also declared (vide conditions 2 and 17 of the License transcribed in the margin)-

6. There seems no objection to fixing 3 drams instead of 2 as the maximum quantity to be sold there to an individual at a time under the circumstances explained.-

7. I would take this opportunity of soliciting the Chief Commrs orders whether the form should be authorized to be adopted in all the Divisions, as would be seen to have been his intention from the orders on the Drafter Memo No 29 of the 11th May 1870 put up. In which case it would be necessary only to forward to the Superintendents of Nandidroog and Ashtagram Copies of this Office No 805/10 of 16th May/70 for information and guidance.-

9th January 1872

A. S. Sabapathy

x Para II "That the Vendor shall equally with the License holder be responsible in the penalties herein set forth for breach of any of the conditions of the License.

XVII A breach of any one of the above conditions & restrictions will subject the Holder of the License & Shop Vendor to a fine not exceeding 100 Rs or to the immediate forfeiture of the License"

Names in the above Memo to be struck off. The Supt. will be informed accordingly - If Spirits are required for the use of such persons as others

A.C.

from any Officer Comd
wishing to go to the Cape to get
the ... a Leave for the required Period
only - Whether a Special Case be
granted by the Local Commd Officer,
but such a practice of
signing the Certificate authorised.

The Form ... proposed to should be
that generally directed, & the
other Regts should be addressed
accordingly -

R. Lee

12.1.72

Recd 13th
In accept draft
12/1

Memorandum

by Dafla in Mayor Durga
Letter No. 465/110 of 14 Dec/71
regarding the Complaints
of the Arrack Shop Keepers
of the Western taluks.

Chief Secretary
11/1/72

as per license fee original.
Send also for copy of the license granted once if it is the same form as that in use in this Div.
8/2/70

A3

Scottish

Memm. No. 235
From The Superintendent of Police
To The Secretary to the Chief Commr.
Dated 1 February
Despatched 4
Received 3 8
Rec'd

Enclosures No.

General No. 238 } 1869-70
Rev. No. 231 }

In reply to No 474 of 8/2/70
113
Reports upon the practice followed in the Division of putting arrack retail shops to sale & suggests a remedy for the evil which this practice produces.

Send a copy of Mr. Berrington's last remarks regarding sale of shops at Bangalore & call for a comparative statement showing the amt obtained during the past 3 years for the sale of such petty shops, other than

I. L. No 5138 / Rev. 231 } 1869-70

Nuggur Dt. Superintendent's Office
Camp Coonsee 1st Feby
1870.

To The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner
of Mysore

Sir.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 4746/113 dated the 21st December ult and in reply to state for the information of the Chief Commissioner that it has not been usual for the Deputy Superintendents to submit for approval to the Superintendent specifications regarding the sale of arrack retail shops - In your Circular No 5204/35 dated the 10th April 1865 instructions were received to put up retail shops on License to the ~~highest bidders~~ In reply to this I brought to notice the diversity of practice prevailing in the three Districts and solicited orders - whether it was meant by the Circular above referred to that the practice of levying license fees was to be superceded, In answer to which I was informed in your letter No 1348/31 dated the 5th June 1867 that the Expediency of putting up the right of retail sale in certain cases must depend on various considerations and that at the same care should be taken that no loss to Govt arose from the arrangement. In accordance with these instructions the retail Vend in Each Taluk has been annually put up to sale, intimation having been

publicly given in the District tho' I understand from the Deputy Superintendents that this is the first year in which the Sales have been notified in the Gazette.

My own opinion has been that it is not advisable to extend to every small Town the System of putting up retail Vend to Sale as the spirit Competition often forces up the price unduly high, and the purchasers in return, increase the price of liquor to and Exorbitant Extent, or adulterate it, with Water — But I also know that if arrack Shops are allotted at the discretion of the Deputy Superintendents, other abuses arise, the Deputy Superintendent cannot know much of the character of the applicants, and an impression at once arises among them, that the disposal rests with the officia of the Deputy Superintendents office — The retail Sellers at present are under no Control as there is no Stipulation binding them to sell Liquor of the same Strength as is issued from the Distillery — The Strength at which Spirit is to be retailed should be specified and retail Vendors made punishable by fine or forfeiture if they infringed the Conditions laid down. If this was done the retail Vend might be Sold as bidders would then be more Cautious, in taking up Shops, than they are now.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obed.t Servant
Abbay
Superintendent

WM

1
A3.

From Central 1869/70. M. 6. 6.
No. 5586
To The Asstt., Nagar D/6c

Dated 10th February 1870
Despatched 11th —
Received

Enclosures No. One
General No. 5586 } 1869/70.
Special No. 166 }
Your letter No. 5138 d/ 1st ult.
231

With reference to the above, forwards for record copy of a letter 5768 d/ the 21st ult. from this Office to the address of the Naval Dy. Collr. 331 claiming Mr. Bowrings views regarding the sale of retail shops at Bangalore, and requests that a comparative statement may be submitted, showing the amount realized during the last three years.

X and Copy of Orders passed on the Petition from Retail Arrack Sellers of the Cantt d/ 2nd Feby/70

5768
331

by fixing rate to subdue competition the right to hold licenses for retail vend, and the amount originally fixed for such licenses. A copy of the license granted should also be submitted.

By Order

9/2/70. 10/2

Office Clerk

To The Burgess Deputation noted 13/2/70

No. 161 / Rev. 4 / 1870-1.

Nagar Division Supt's Office
Santaveri 9th April 1870.

To The Secretary to the Chief Commr.
of Mysore

Sir, With reference to your letter No. 5586/166 of the 10th February last I have the honor to submit, for the information of the Chief Commissioner Comparative Statements received from the three Districts, showing the amount realized during the past 3 years by putting up to public competition the right to hold licenses for retail shops, as also a copy of the license granted.

As the retail sellers at present are under no control, in consequence of there being no stipulation binding them to sell liquor, of the same strength as is issued from the distillery, without adulteration, I think a clause to the above effect should be inserted adding that infraction of the above rule, will subject the retail dealers, to penalties, and involve the forfeiture of the license. At present buyers, are in no way protected by Govt. from the fraud of the retail sellers, who adulterate the liquor

the fullest extent possible without risking a cessation of sale."

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obed. Servant
Wallace
Superintendent

Revenue 1870 71 — 805
10

To

The Superintendent of the
Vizag Division

Dated 6th May

Desp. R 19
Dept. 21

Subj. No 805
Rev. 10

With reference to letter
No 16 of the 9th Ultimo forwar
a form of Retail Arrack Li
=cense for adoption in his Di
& states that a fixed fee be
levied on Retail Shops in
Taloothe and Villages

From — Assistant

From — Signature

To

The Director Dept. noted
21/5/71

Sl. No. 805

Rev. 10.

No. 6.6.0.

16th May 1870

To

The Supdt Nagar Dn.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 161/4 of the 9th Instnt, I am directed to forward the accompanying form of Retail Arrack License issued in the Town and Cantonment of Bangalore; and to request that it may be adopted in your Division.

2. A fixed fee attached to the Offs Chief Commr. payable for the Retail Arrack Shops in Taluks & Villages where the community is small and the supervision imperfect as competition at public auction in such places leads to high rates & the retail sellers endeavour to recoup themselves by diluting the liquor.

3. The Offs Chief Commr approves of the sale of Retail Licences at large places, where competition will prevent abuses instead of leading to them, and moreover the Govt is entitled to benefit thereby

Sheriff

16-5-70

16.5.

Coor Secy

Copy of Ack.

Outturn.

ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ಅಂಗಡಿ ಲೈಸರ್ಸು.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಧೊರೆಗಳ ಶ್ರೀಮೆಯ ನಗರ ಡಿವಿಜ್ಞ ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ ಡಿ|| ಡಿ||
ಸೂಪರ್ಟಿಂಡೆಂಟ್ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದಾ.

ಪುರೋಕ್ಕುದಾರನ ಹೆಸರು

ಪುರೋಕ್ಕುಮಾಡುವ ಸ್ಥಳ

ನೇಟೀವ್ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತಯಾರಾಗುವಂಥಾ ಷರಾಬ್ ಬಿಕರಿ ಬಗ್ಯೆ ಸದರಿಯವಗೆ ಈ ಷರತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಲೈಸರ್ಸು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಯಿದೆ.

ಯಿದಕ್ಕೆ ಯೇನಾದರು ತಪ್ಪಿದರೆ ಲೈಸರ್ಸು ಕಳಕೊಳ್ಳುವದಲ್ಲದೆ ಸರ್ 1856 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರು 21ನೇ ಆಕ್ಟು 43–45ನೇ ಸೆಕರ್ಷ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಆಪಾದನೆಗೆ ಬಳಪಡುತ್ತಾನೆ.

1. ಈ ಅಂಗಡಿಯು ಲೈಸರ್ಸು ಪಡಕೊಂಡ ಯಾವ ಡಿಪ್ಟಲೇರಿಗೆ ಬಳಪಟ್ಟದ್ದಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಯೋ ಆ ಡಿಪ್ಟಲೇರಿಯಿಂದಾ ತಂದು ಮಾರ ಬೇಕೇ ಹೊರ್ತು ಬ್ಯಾರೆ ಕಡೆಯಿಂದಾ ಷರಾಬ್ ತಂದು ಮಾರಕೂಡದು.

2. ಲೈಸರ್ಸು ಪಡಕೊಂಡಂಥಾ ದುರ್ಕಾನಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಷರಾಬ್ ಮಾರಬೇಕೇ ಹೊರ್ತು ಬ್ಯಾರೆ ಯಾವ ಬಹು ನೆ ಯಿಂದಾನೆ ಆಗಲಿ ಮತ್ತೆಲ್ಲು ಮಾರಕೂಡದು.

3. ದಫಾಂಕ್ಕೆ ವಂದು ಶೇರಿಗೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಷರಾಬ್ ವಬ್ಬನಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಕೂಡದು.

4. ಸೂರ್ಯ ವುದಯ ಆಗದ್ದಕ್ಕಿಂತಾ ಮುಂಜೆ ರಾತ್ರೆ ೪ ಘಂಟೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಂಗಡಿ ತೆರೆಯ ಕೂಡದು, ಮಾರಾಟವಾಗ ಕೂಡದು.

5. ಷರಾಬು ಕಿವ್ಮುತ್ತಿನ ಬದ್ದಿಗೆ ದಾಗೀನಗಳನ್ನೆ ಆಗಲಿ, ಯಿತರ ಜಿನಸುಗಳನ್ನೆ ಆಗಲಿ ತೆಗದು ಕೊಳ್ಳಕೂಡದು.

6. ಬದ್ದಚಾಲಿನವರನ್ನಾ ಷಾಪಿಗೆ ಬರುವಂಥಾದ್ದು ದುರ್ಕಾನಲ್ಲಿ ಜೂಜ್ ಆಡೂವಂಥಾದ್ದು ಯಿಂಥಾ ಅಕ್ರಮ ನಡತೆ ಮನಾ ಮಾಡ ಬೇಕು. ಸಂದೇಹ ವುಳ್ಳ ಚಾಲಿನವರನ್ನು ಕುರಿತು ಮೇಜಸ್ಟ್ರೆಟ್ ನವಕರರಿಗೆ ಖಬರ್ ಕೊಡ ಬೇಕು.

7. ಷರಾಬ್ ಬಿಕರಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದೆಂತಾ ಲೈಸರ್ಸು ಪಡಕೊಂಡಂಥಾ ವೆಂಡರ್ ಹೆಸರು ವಂದು ಹಲಿಗೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಬರದು ಅಂಗಡಿ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಚ್ಚ ಬೇಕು.

8. ಆಬ್ಕಾರಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರಸ್ಥನು ನೋಡುವ ಬಗ್ಯೆ ಲೈಸರ್ಸು ದುಕಾನಿನ ಲಿಖ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನೆ ತೋರಿಸ ಬೇಕು. ಅಂಥಾ ಲೆಖ್ಕುವನ್ನು ಹಾಜರ್ ಮಾಡಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ಆಬ್ಕಾರಿ ಆಫೀಸರಿಗೆ ಯಾವ ಹೊತ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಆದರೂ ದುಕಾನಿಗೆ ಪ್ರವೇಶಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೂ ಅಭ್ಯಂತ್ರ ಮಾಡಕೂಡದು.

ಬತಾರೀಖು ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ
ಸರ್ 18 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ.

ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಟ್ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟ್.

Statement exhibiting the amt realized during the last 3 years by the sale of the right at public auction to hold licenses for retail vend

Taluks	No	Names of Retail shops	1867/68	1868/69	1869/70	Remarks.
	1	Bellaur	40	101	300	
	2	Rayanayakalli	"	12	20	
	3	Kadur	"	"	10	
		Total	40	113	330	
	1	Adjimpore	40	29	52	
	2	Rajambalu	36	18	18	
	3	Sankere I	500	600	480	
	4	Do II	520	670	470	
	5	Vitalapura	40	27	27	
	6	Oods	27	25	60	
	7	Kodeakhalli	65	105	127	
	8	Chattanahalli	10	10	11	
	9	Pillayboranahalli	68	62	62	
	10	Kandibatlu	16	15	17	
	11	Sivanay	15	12	"	
	12	Doddeghere	18	10	8 13	
	13	Lampigay Khan	"	25	40	
		Total	1355	1608	8 1377	
	1	Mahalu	110	65	35	
	2	Makkalamma Kadlu	42	22	12	
	3	Jajara	20	10	11	
	4	Kosambala	15	16	40	
	5	Pandarvalli	12	10	"	
	6	Kolajaday	12	10	14	

no amt of rent or annual fees was ever paid for any licenses in this Taluk by this time. but this is done (1870/71) from 1871/72

7	Siravaday	16	12	50	
8	Hiraykdu Mutt	50	40	31	
9	Jedahalli I	100	300	400	
10	do II	"	10	24	
11	do III	32	40	24	
12	do IV	20	140	499	
13	Sadasa	20	62	50	
14	Lakkuvalli	130	210	170	
15	Kosamaday	170	220	249	
16	Kasarikoppa	25	15	29	
17	Gopal Basavidalli	15	10	15	
18	Kandigaday	-	53	32	
19	Yerbommanahalli	-	16	29	
	Total	789	1261	1714	

1	Koppa	11	150	450	
2	Sringeri	12	4 90	900	
3	Harrihara pura	12	300	550	
4	Bagjoonjekatte	13	30	100	
5	Koogyshuntte	10	12	350	
6	Kalloabasti	12	12	110	
7	Bhandighadi	12	4 26	150	
8	Bugjoonjeppyate	10	12 16	116	
9	Jadaratere	12	8 13	35	
10	Narey	10	12	21	
11	Talamacky	10	10	151	
12	Begare	10	11	150	
13	Kig	10	10	110	
14	Koonjabyle	10	10	200	

15	Koopatna	10	-	-	10	-	-	60	-
16	Bankarani	10	-	-	10	-	-	70	-
17	Bennagoodda	10	-	-	10	-	-	200	-
18	Koolavalli	10	-	-	10	-	-	10	-
19	Nellore	10	-	-	10	-	-	30	-
20	Kalladabyle	-	-	-	10	-	-	49	-
21	Kodiyoora	-	-	-	10	-	-	10	-
22	Yettikahatte	10	-	-	10	-	-	50	-
23	Nennar	-	-	-	15	-	-	51	-
24	Kalahalli	-	-	-	50	-	-	150	-
25	Gavikere	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-
26	Gilavamakki	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Siddara Mutt	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-
28	Heggarkodgee	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Gooda Devastan	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
30	Megoor Devastan	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	225	12	-	857	-	-	4174	-

1	Wastara I	93	-	-	50	-	-		
2	Do II	70	-	-	20	-	-		
3	Sookravarkett I	100	-	-	100	-	-		
4	Do II	67	-	-	80	-	-		
5	Aldoor I	150	-	-	135	-	-		
6	do II	150	-	-	135	-	-		
7	do III	170	-	-	135	-	-		
8	do IV	155	-	-	130	-	-		
9	Soladahal I	175	-	-	130	-	-		
10	do II	165	-	-	170	-	-		
11	do III	181	-	-	150	-	-		
12	do IV	280	-	-	150	-	-		

13	Sekgor	20	12	30
14	Kodavalli I	96	100	100
15	Do II	87	110	98
16	Talibal I	36	40	26
17	do II	39	50	30
18	Kodavalli I	44	50	52
19	Do II	36	44	57
20	Karjechalli	15	12	25
21	Adakinateravo I	68	65	61
22	Do II	59	46	55
23	Kachenahalli	14	14	13
24	Kelajani	40	35	31
25	Basapallo		49	41
26	Kadayallo	27	100	78
27	Kalasa	12	12	10
28	Anor	18	10	54
29	Kosahalli	24	20	80
30	Kalasoniandy	100	115	12
31	Tadagasa	29	71	34
32	Javali	180	70	29
33	Kesarike	64	35	31
34	Doraharu	22	18	41
35	Komaygandi	151	102	20
36	Kaakkonda	10	12	-
37	Balor	140	80	23
38	Kandi	-	5	-
39	Kallamani	10	10	

2nd Sheet

40	Basavanakotteya	12	10	40
41	Khandya	10	10	60
42	Joladapete	35	15	18
43	Ballavahara	10	10	10
44	Balehonnur	19	12	30
45	Largodu		10	65
46	Koonay			21
47	Basapura		10	40
48	Bekanajodu			10
49	Hankavalli			10
50	Killagunasai Honnatalle	49		
		3232	2760	4181
1	Devarupett I	360	371	310
2	do II	391	416	312
3	do III	385	415	310
4	do IV	390	430	336
5	do V	385	430	340
6	do VI	410	450	343
7	Porterpett			324
8	Mattavara I	70	51	25
9	do II	86	53	50
10	Sudavara I	50	24	41
11	do II	20		44
12	Kerkeri	90	82	30
13	Hanikay	80	82	51

14	Gamanahalle	105	90	89
15	Biggamahalle	220	250	192
16	Kalkalle	58	80	100
17	Kurwamadavar hutti	61	32	24
18	Togrikankalor	65	50	60
19	Lacrayapatna	55	40	31
20	Daragani	26	15	10
21	Naraganahalli	42	30	14
22	Totadahalli I	55	50	69
23	do II	41	35	70
24	Ghunnaykan	16	18	13
25	Allumpore	73	53	42
26	Amblay	28	28	15
	Ramanahalli	10	85	20
28	Kirimoorgoor I	80	50	25
29	do II	50	48	25
30	Dantaramathi	10	10	10
31	Moojatikalli	75	100	91
32	Nagadee	30	48	15
33	Kurigatta	44	46	30
34	Dammadahalli	50	54	67
35	Banavara	10	10	21
36	Korlay	10	7	10
37	Kalasapura		15	10
38	Phalaridavurhutti		25	30
39	Lekkay		6	
40	Govanahalli			10
	Total	4011	4079	3606

1	Bandarawela	12	14	30	
2	Kuruwakatte		10		
3	Kirindatota		10		
4	Devandara		10		
5	Janagulla		10		
	Total	12	54	30	

Abstract

1	Kadawatta	40	113	330	
2	Tanikeri	1355	1608	8	1377
3	Lakkuwella	789	1261		1714
4	Koppara	255	12 857		4174
5	Wadarea	3232	2760		4181
6	Kukkanagalawa	4011	4079		3606
7	Bandarawela	12	54		30
	Total	9694	12 10732		15412

P. Vanderstraaten
Dy Superintt
Kadawedy

Kukkanagalawa
26th March 1870

of Licenses to vend arrack during the past three years
ount of fees recovered monthly on the shops.

alized by the sale of d arrack during the						Amount of fees paid monthly for Retail Shops.					
1867/68.			1868/69.			1866/67			1867/68			1868/69.		
Rs.	as.	p.	Rs.	as.	p.	Rs.	as.	p.	Rs.	as.	p.	Rs.	as.	p.
3733	5	1	1631	6	"	1522	"	"	1450	14	4	1612	3	11
11	"	"	4	"	"	93	"	"	103	4	8	114	"	1
1202	8	9	731	4	"	571	1	9	671	14	"	702	"	"
2474	9	2	3687	5	11	700	15	1	801	7	9	791	4	11
178	15	"	277	8	"	276	11	1	477	15	3	322	"	"
1006	"	"	737	4	"	454	"	"	685	"	"	645	12	10
713	10	2	361	4	"	355	5	7	557	12	9	548	14	7
"	"	"	"	"	"	43	"	8	16	7	5	"	"	"
16	"	"	192	11	"	216	13	10	122	3	8	152	13	"
11026	"	2	13622	10	11	4173	"	"	4880	15	8	4888	5	7

Asst Collector
Offg Deputy Superintendent

Statement shewing the amount realized by the sale
in the several Talúks of the Shimoga District, & the a

No.	Talúks.	Amount of Licenses to sell Toddy, 1866/67		
		Rs	a	p
1	Shimoga	6002	12	"
2	Chenagiri	12	"	"
3	Honnàlli	1214	7	"
4	Shikàripùra	1381	13	"
5	Anantapùra	150	12	"
6	Sorabà	461	4	"
7	Sàgarà	365	12	"
8	Nagarà	50	4	"
9	Kàvaledùrga	15	8	"
	Total	9654	8	"

Shimoga District
Deputy Supt's Office
4th March 1870.

V. A. C

Statement showing the amount realized monthly from three years from 1866-67- in the Chikaldrooy Dist.

Years	Chikaldrooy	Davenagerry	Kanakapoor	Mulkapoor Amra	Doddery	Ha
1866—67	466 9 4 / 613	457 " "	17 " "	171 " "	64 " "	24
1867—68	630 8 9	279 " "	" " "	181 " "	7 " "	166
1868—69	528 " "	278 " "	" " "	258 14 +2	57 10 "	146

Statement showing the amount for which licenses

Years	Chikaldrooy	Davenagherry	Doddery
1866—67	" " "	" " "	" " "
1867—68	53 " "	7 8 "	3 " "
1868—69	331 " "	9 4 "	3 " "

Chikaldrooy Dt
Dy Supts Office
9 March 1870

N. B. The monthly rate of
Tarikara & Chika is 10 Rs
Dks a year of 5 Rs is levied p
the Village rate is 2 Rs.

e Abkari arrack Shop Keepers on acct of Licence fee for

ipoor	Budibalu	Hasadarga	Pauzada	Hariharu	Tabal
. .	145 .	14 .	809 1	1 2380	10 5
. .	62 .	35 .	1844 .	2,187	8 9
. .	26 .	10 .	946 14	1 2,203	13 4

were put to auction Sale during the above period.

Hurryhur	Tabal
17 12 .	1517 12 .
341 . .	1404 8 .
95 8 .	1238 12 .

icense fee in the Cusbah towns
in each Shop, & in the Cusbas & other
r mouzas from each Shop, while

for Deputy Superintendent
Chitaldroog District

Sep.

~~Land~~ Rev: File No 4 of 1868

Sl. 1

Instructions regarding —
Cultivation of lands Containing Date trees.

Indexed
JMK